

STATE OF WASHINGTON PUBLIC DISCLOSURE COMMISSION

711 Capitol Way Rm 206, PO Box 40908 * Olympia, Washington 98504-0908 * (360) 753-1111 * Fax (360) 753-1112 Toll Free 1-877-601-2828 * E-mail: pdc@pdc.wa.gov * Website: www.pdc.wa.gov

October 1, 2009

PATTY HALE 18405 AURORA AVE N STE H PMB 106 SHORELINE WA 98133-4412

Subject: Final Order - PDC Case No. 10-001

Dear Ms. Hale:

Enclosed is the Public Disclosure Commission's Final Order that was entered in the above-referenced case. Also enclosed is a copy of the signed "Stipulation as to Facts, Violations and Penalty."

Please note that unless you seek reconsideration of the final order, or exercise your appeal rights as outlined in the order, the \$250 unsuspended portion of the penalty is due within 30 days of the date of this order. The check should be made payable to "WA STATE TREASURER" and should be sent to the Public Disclosure Commission. Thank you for your cooperation.

If you have questions, please contact me at (360) 664-8853 or toll free at 1-877-601-2828 or by e-mail at pstutzman@pdc.wa.gov.

Sincerely,

Philip E. Stutzman

Director of Compliance

Enclosure

1			
2			
3	BEFORE THE PUBLIC DISCL	OSURE COMMISSION	
4	OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON		
5	In the Matter of Enforcement Action Against:	PDC CASE NO. 10-001	
6	Patty Hale,	FINAL ORDER	
7	Respondent.		
8			
9	This matter came before the Washington State Public Disclosure Commission of		
10	September 24, 2009 at the PDC Office, 711	Capitol Way, Room 206, Olympia	
11 12	Washington. Those present included Jim Clements, Commission Chair; David Seabrook		
13	Vice Chair; Ken Schellberg, Member; and Jane N	oland, Member. Also present were PDC	
14	Executive Director Vicki Rippie; PDC Director o	f Compliance Phil Stutzman; Patty Hale,	
15	Respondent; and Nancy Krier, General Counsel for the Commission. The proceeding wa		
16	open to the public and was recorded.		
17	This matter resulted from a complaint that Respondent, a candidate for the Shoreline		
18 19	City Council, allegedly violated RCW 42.17.130, the statute prohibiting use of public		
20	facilities by public employees to assist a candidate's campaign.		
21	PDC Staff submitted to the Commission a	a cover memo from Mr. Stutzman dated	
22	September 17, 2009; a Notice of Administrative	e Charges dated September 10, 2009; a	
23	Report of Investigation dated September 10, 20	009 (with exhibits); and a spreadsheet	
24	summarizing penalties assessed in comparable	cases. The parties submitted to the	
25	Commission a proposed Stipulation as to Facts, V	iolations and Penalty (Stipulation) dated	
26			

PDC CASE NO. 10-001

September 16, 2009.

1	Mr. Stutzman summarized the proposed Stipulation and the staff recommendation		
2	accept the Stipulation. Ms. Hale addressed the Commission. The Commission voted 4-0 t		
3	accept the Stipulation.		
4			
5	I. FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW		
6	Based upon the Stipulation, which is hereby attached and incorporated by reference		
7	the Commission finds:		
8	1. The Jurisdiction, Facts, Violations, and Penalty are established as provided		
9	in the Stipulation.		
10	2. The Respondent violated RCW 42.17.130 as provided in the Stipulation.		
11	II. ORDER		
12	Based upon the findings and conclusions, the Commission orders that:		
13	1. The Stipulation is accepted.		
14	2. The Respondent is assessed a civil penalty as provided in the Stipulation in a		
15	total amount of \$1,000, of which \$750 will be suspended on the condition that th		
16	Respondent is not found in violation of RCW 42.17.130, as determined by the ful		
17	Commission, through December 31, 2013.		
18	3. As provided in the Stipulation, the \$250 unsuspended portion shall be paid		
19	within 30 days of this Final Order.		
20			
21	III. APPEALS		
22	RECONSIDERATION OF FINAL ORDER - COMMISSION		
23	Any party may ask the Commission to reconsider this final order. Parties must		
24	place their requests for reconsideration in writing, include the specific grounds or reason		
25	for the request, and deliver the request to the Public Disclosure Commission Office within		
26	TWENTY-ONE (21) BUSINESS DAYS of the date that the Commission serves this order		
	upon the party. WAC 390-37-150. Service by the Commission on a party is accomplished		

PDC CASE NO. 10-001

on the date of mailing by U.S. mail if the order is mailed, or the date of personal service if personal service is made. RCW 34.05.010(19). The Commission orders are generally mailed via U.S. mail.

Pursuant to WAC 390-37-150, the Public Disclosure Commission is deemed to have denied the petition for reconsideration if, within twenty (20) business days from the date the petition is filed, the Commission does not either dispose of the petition or serve the parties with written notice specifying the date by which it will act on the petition. Pursuant to RCW 34.05.470(5), the Respondent is not required to ask the Public Disclosure Commission to reconsider the final order before seeking judicial review by a superior court.

FURTHER APPEAL RIGHTS – SUPERIOR COURT

Pursuant to RCW 42.17.395(5), a **final order** issued by the Public Disclosure Commission is subject to judicial review under the Administrative Procedures Act, chapter 34.05 RCW. The procedures are provided in RCW 34.05.510 - .598. Pursuant to RCW 34.05.542(2), a petition for judicial review must be filed with the superior court in Thurston County or the petitioner's county of residence or principal place of business. The petition for judicial review must be served on the Public Disclosure Commission and any other parties within **30 days** of the date that the Public Disclosure Commission serves this final order on the parties. RCW 34.05.542 (4) provides: "Service of the petition on the agency shall be by delivery of a copy of the petition to the office of the director, or other chief administrative officer or chairperson of the agency, at the principal office of the agency. Service of a copy by mail upon the other parties of record and the office of the attorney general shall be deemed complete upon deposit in the United States mail, as evidenced by the postmark."

PDC CASE NO. 10-001

1	If reconsideration is properly sought, the petition for judicial review must be served	
2	on the Public Disclosure Commission and any other parties within thirty (30) days after the	
3	Commission acts on the petition for reconsideration.	
4	IV. ENFORCEMENT OF FINAL ORDERS	
5 6	The Commission will seek to enforce this final order in superior court under RCW	
7	42.17.395397, and recover legal costs and attorney's fees, if the penalty remains unpaid	
8	and no petition for judicial review has been filed under chapter 34.05 RCW. This action	
9	will be taken without further order by the Commission.	
10	The Executive Director is authorized to enter this order on behalf of the	
11	Commission.	
12	DATED THIS day of October, 2009.	
13	day of October, 2009.	
14	FOR THE COMMISSION:	
15	TOR THE COMMISSION:	
16	VICKI RIPPIE, Executive Director	
17		
18	Attachment: Stipulation as to Facts, Violations and Penalty dated September 16, 2009	
19	Superation as to 1 acts, violations and 1 charty dated September 10, 2009	
20		
21	Date of mailing:	
22	10/01/09	
23		
24	Copies mailed to:	
25	Patty Hale, Respondent	

- 4. During the 2008-2009 school year, Ms. Hale was employed as a substitute school teacher for Shoreline School District No. 412. She has worked as a substitute teacher at Shorecrest High School on multiple occasions. Matt Freeborn is a student at Shorecrest High School and attended classes in which Ms. Hale was employed as a substitute teacher.
- 5. On December 16, 2008, Ms. Hale worked as a substitute teacher for an Essay
 Fundamentals class at Shorecrest High School in Shoreline School District No. 412. Matt
 Freeborn, the complainant, was a student in that class. She addressed the class, telling
 them that she was thinking of running for Shoreline City Council. She told the class if she
 did run, she would need volunteer assistance. She told the students they could earn
 community service hours that would qualify for graduation requirements by working on her
 campaign. She also circulated a paper and asked students who were interested in
 volunteering to write their name, address, telephone number, and email address on the
 paper so she could contact them at a later time. Several students signed the paper and some
 were contacted at a later time by the 2009 Patty Hale campaign.
- 6. On June 16, 2009, Ms. Hale worked as a substitute teacher for a Speech class at Shorecrest High School. Matt Freeborn was a student in that class. She addressed the class, telling them that she was a candidate for Shoreline City Council. She asked the class for student volunteers to assist with her campaign. She told the students they could earn community service hours that would qualify for graduation requirements by working on her campaign. She also circulated a paper and asked students who were interested in volunteering to write their name, address, telephone number, and email address on the paper so she could contact them at a later time. Several students signed the paper and some were contacted at a later time by the 2009 Patty Hale campaign.
- 7. Students who provided contact information on December 16, 2008 and June 16, 2009, agreeing to be contacted about volunteer opportunities with the Patty Hale campaign, were first contacted by email on June 22, 2009 and were provided a list of volunteer

opportunities. On July 15, 2009, students were again sent a campaign email asking for help providing Door-to-Door voter contact before the August 18, 2009 Primary election. Two students responded to the first email, and no students responded to the second email. Of the two students who responded to the first email, neither was used by the campaign as a volunteer.

- 8. Ms. Hale states she was aware that the school district had provided students with volunteer opportunities to work on political campaigns in the past, and was told by Shorecrest High School staff that the hours volunteered working on a political campaign counted toward a student's community service graduation requirement.
- 9. Ms. Hale states that in her experiences as a substitute teacher at Shorecrest High School, teachers regularly provide information about volunteer opportunities for students during class. She states that no clear direction was given about how students were to be informed of volunteer opportunities, and she had no reason to know that informing students about volunteer opportunities with a political campaign was not allowed.

VIOLATIONS

1. The parties hereto stipulate that Patty Hale violated RCW 42.17.130 by using the facilities of Shorecrest High School in Shoreline School District No. 412 to assist her 2009 campaign for Shoreline City Council. Ms. Hale used her classroom on two occasions, on December 16, 2008 and on June 16, 2009, to recruit student volunteers for her 2009 campaign for Shoreline City Council. During class time, while in the classroom, Ms. Hale spoke to students about volunteer opportunities with her campaign and circulated a sign-up sheet to obtain contact information of student volunteers. She later sent campaign emails to some of the students who provided contact information.

PENALTY

1. Based upon the stipulated facts and the agreement regarding the violations set forth above, the Respondent agrees that a total civil penalty of \$1,000 be assessed. Of the total penalty

1	amount, \$750 will be suspended on the condition that the Respondent is not found in
2	violation of RCW 42.17.130, as determined by the Full Commission, through December
3	31, 2013.
4	2. The Respondent agrees that the \$250 unsuspended portion of the penalty shall be paid
5	within 30 days from the date of entry of the Commission's Final Order in this matter.
6	3. The Respondent affirms her intention to comply in good faith with the provisions of RCW
7	42.17 in all future election campaigns.
8	Jan 900 in 9-16-89
9	DOUG ELLIS Assistant Director Public Disclosure Commission Date Signed
10	
11	PATTY HALE Date Signed
12	The signed
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	